

## Direct Factors of Sylow 2-Subgroups. II

GEORGE GLAUBERMAN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60037**and**Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, England**Communicated by R. Huppert*

Received August 10, 1972

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $G$  is a finite group and  $G$  has a Sylow 2-subgroup of the form  $Q \times R$ . In a previous paper [4], we showed that, under certain conditions on  $Q$  (in particular, when  $Q$  is a generalized quaternion group), the group  $G$  cannot be simple. In this paper, we use some recent results of D. Goldschmidt to determine some weaker conditions on  $Q$  which guarantee that  $G$  is not simple.

Our main results are the following.

**THEOREM A.** *Suppose  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite group  $G$ ,  $Q$  and  $R$  are subgroups of  $S$ , and  $S = Q \times R$ . Assume that  $Q$  is indecomposable and that  $\Omega_1(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$ . Assume also that  $Q$  is neither an Abelian group nor a generalized quaternion group. Then there exist subgroups  $Q^*$  and  $R^*$  of  $S$  such that*

$$S = Q^* \times R = Q \times R^* = Q^* \times R^* \quad (\text{A1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(Q^*) \cap O_{2',2}(G) &= \Omega_1(Q^*) \cap O_{2',2}(N_G(R^*)) \\ &= \Omega_1(Q^*) \cap O_{2',2}(C_G(R^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $Q$  be an indecomposable, non-Abelian finite 2-group such that  $\Omega_1(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$ . Then the following conditions on  $Q$  are equivalent.*

(B1) *Whenever  $Q$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite group  $G$ , then  $Q \cap O_{2',2}(G) \neq 1$ .*

(B2) *Whenever  $R$  is a 2-group and  $Q \times R$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite group  $G$ , then  $(Q \times R) \cap O_{2',2}(G) \neq 1$ .*

Here,  $O_2(G)$  is defined to be the largest normal 2-subgroup of a group  $G$ , and  $O_{2',2}(G)$  is defined to be the normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  for which  $H$  contains  $O_{2'}(G)$  and  $H/O_{2'}(G) = O_2(G/O_{2'}(G))$ . The rest of our notation is taken from [4], to which we will refer as I.

Theorem B was conjectured to the author by D. Goldschmidt. It is an analogue of Theorem D of I. The following is an example of the difference between the two results. Take any odd integer  $n$  greater than 3 and let  $q = 2^n$ . Let  $\theta$  be a nonidentity automorphism of the Galois field of order  $q$  such that  $x\theta^2 \neq x$  for some element  $x$  of the field. Define  $Q$  to be the "Suzuki 2-group"  $A(n, \theta)$ , as defined in [8]. Then  $Q$  is indecomposable. Moreover,  $Q$  is not a Sylow 2-subgroup of a simple group in Suzuki's family (defined in [10]), by [8, Theorem 2, p. 94] and [10, Theorem 6, p. 127]. Clearly,  $Q$  violates the conditions of Theorem D of I. However, by a recent result of Collins [1],  $Q$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem B of this paper.

In the following, we shall use the notation of I. In particular, all groups are assumed to be finite. Moreover, if  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, then  $d_e(G)$  is the maximum of the orders of the elementary Abelian subgroups of  $G$  and  $J_e(G)$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the elementary Abelian subgroups of order  $d_e(G)$ . We shall often use without reference the following two facts: If  $G$  is a group and  $H \leq G$ , then

$$O_2(H) \subseteq O_2(G), \quad O_2(H) \subseteq O_2(G), \quad \text{and} \quad O_{2',2}(H) \subseteq O_{2',2}(G).$$

If  $S$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $E$  is a strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ , then for every  $p$ -subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  that contains  $E$ ,  $E$  is strongly closed in  $T$  with respect to  $G$ .

## 2. REQUIRED RESULTS

The main tools that allow us to work with  $O_{2',2}(G)$  rather than  $Z^*(G)$  are the following important results of Goldschmidt:

**THEOREM G1** [5]. *Suppose  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite group  $G$  and  $A$  is an Abelian subgroup of  $S$  which is strongly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . Furthermore, suppose  $b$  is an involution in  $C_S(A) - A$  such that  $A \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(a))$  for every involution  $a$  in the coset  $Ab$ . Then  $A \subseteq O_{2',2}(G)$ .*

**THEOREM G2** [6, Theorem 3.1]. *Suppose  $B$  is an Abelian 2-subgroup of a finite group  $G$  and the minimal number of generators of  $B$  is at least 3. Suppose also that  $A$  is a noncyclic subgroup of  $B$  such that  $A \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(b))$  for each involution  $b$  of  $B$ . Let  $W = \langle O_{2'}(C(a)) \mid a \in \Omega_1(A) - \{1\} \rangle$ . Then  $W$  has odd order.*

The two main results of I which we require are the following, which are Theorem B, Theorem 3.1, and Corollary of Theorem D of I, respectively. (In Theorem I 2,  $J(S)$  is defined as in [7, p. 271]; however, in this paper, we will not need to apply the case in which  $J = J(S)$ .)

**THEOREM I 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$ , and  $K$  be  $C_S(\Omega_1(Z(J_e(S))))$ . Suppose  $k$  is a positive integer and  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  are isomorphic subgroups of  $K$ . Let  $T = \langle T_1, \dots, T_k \rangle$ . Assume that:*

- (B1) *every element of  $N(K)$  permutes  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  by conjugation;*
- (B2)  $T = T_1 \times \dots \times T_k$ ;
- (B3) *whenever  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $P$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $N(T_i)$  that contains  $T_i$ , then  $T_i$  is a direct factor of  $P$  and  $\Omega_1(T_i) \trianglelefteq N(P) \cap N(T_i')$ ;*
- (B4)  $T_1$  *is not Abelian and  $\Omega_1(T_1) \subseteq Z(T_1)$ ; and*
- (B5) *either  $p$  is odd or  $T_1$  is not a generalized quaternion group.*

*Then  $\Omega_1(T)$  is strongly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $G$  and, if  $p = 2$ ,*

$$\Omega_1(T) \cap Z(N(K)) \subseteq Z^*(G).$$

**THEOREM I 2.** *Suppose  $p$  is a prime,  $S$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ , and  $S = Q \times R$ . Assume that  $Q$  is indecomposable and not Abelian and that  $\Omega_1(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$ . Define  $J$  to be  $J(S)$  if  $p = 2$  and  $Q$  is a generalized quaternion group and to be  $J_e(S)$  otherwise. Let  $K = C_S(\Omega_1(Z(J)))$ ,  $L = N(K) \cap N(Q')$ , and  $k = |N(K):L|$ . Then there exist isomorphic subgroups  $T_1, \dots, T_k \subseteq K$  such that*

- (a)  $S = T_1 \times R$ ,
- (b)  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  *satisfy conditions (B1), (B2), and (B3) of Theorem I 1, and*
- (c) *if  $Q \cap Z(N(S) \cap N(Q')) \neq 1$ , then there exist elements  $\tau_1 \in T_1, \dots, \tau_k \in T_k$ , each of order  $p$ , such that  $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_k \in Z(N(K))$ .*

**THEOREM I 3.** *Suppose  $G$  is a finite group and some Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  has a generalized quaternion group as a direct factor. Then  $S \cap Z^*(G) \neq 1$ .*

From Theorems I 1 and I 2 we derive the following result.

**COROLLARY I 4.** *Let  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of a group  $G$ . Suppose  $Q$  is a non-Abelian, indecomposable direct factor of  $S$  and  $\Omega_1(Q) \subseteq Z(Q)$ . Assume that  $Q$  is not a generalized quaternion group. Take  $R \subseteq S$  such that  $S = Q \times R$ . Then there exist  $k \geq 1$  and  $Q^*, E, E_1, \dots, E_k \subseteq S$  such that*

- (a)  $S = Q^* \times R$ ,
- (b)  $E_1 = \Omega_1(Q^*)$ ,
- (c)  $E = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_k$ ,
- (d)  $E$  is strongly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $G$ , and
- (e)  $N(E)$  permutes  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  by conjugation.

*Proof.* In this situation, the hypothesis of Theorem I 2 is satisfied. Take  $k, K$ , and  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  as in Theorem I 2. By deleting some of the groups  $T_i$  and making  $k$  smaller, if necessary, we may and will assume that  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  are all conjugate to  $T_1$  in  $N(K)$ . Set  $Q^* = T_1$  and  $E_i = \Omega_1(T_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $E = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_k$ . Then (a), (b), and (c) follow immediately, and Theorem I 1 yields (d).

By (a),  $Q^* \cong Q$  and  $Z(Q^*) \subseteq Z(S)$ . Hence,

$$E_1 = \Omega_1(Q^*) \subseteq Z(Q^*) \subseteq Z(S).$$

As  $E_1 \subseteq T_1 \subseteq K$ ,  $E_1 \subseteq Z(K)$ . Suppose  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $T_i$  is conjugate to  $T_1$  in  $N(K)$ ,  $E_i \subseteq Z(K)$ . Hence,  $E \subseteq Z(K)$ , and  $K \subseteq C_S(E)$ .

By (d),  $S \subseteq N(E)$ . Therefore, by the Frattini argument,

$$N(E) = C(E)N(C_S(E)). \quad (2.1)$$

By a short argument (or Lemma 3.3 of I),  $K$  is weakly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . Hence,  $N(C_S(E)) \subseteq N(K)$ . Now, (e) follows from condition (B1) of Theorem I 1 and from (2.1).

In order to use the strong closure of  $E$ , we use the following result.

**THEOREM S** [3, Theorem 6.1]. *Let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ . Suppose  $E$  is an Abelian strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . Then  $E$  controls strong fusion in  $S$  with respect to  $G$ , that is, whenever  $W \subseteq S$ ,  $g \in G$ , and  $W^g \subseteq S$ , then there exist  $c \in C(W)$  and  $n \in N(E)$  such that  $cn = g$ .*

Finally, we state a number of lemmas.

**LEMMA 1** (Proposition 2.2 of I). *Assume that  $G$  is a group,  $H_1, \dots, H_s$  are indecomposable subgroups of  $G$ , and  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_s$ . Suppose*

$$1 \leq r \leq s, \quad H_1 \cong \cdots \cong H_r, \quad \text{and} \quad H_i \not\cong H_1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = r+1, \dots, s.$$

*Then each automorphism of  $G$  permutes the subgroups  $H_1Z(G), \dots, H_rZ(G)$  and permutes the subgroups  $H_1', \dots, H_r'$  in the same manner.*

**LEMMA 2** (Proposition 2.6 of I). *Let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ .*

Suppose  $Q$  is a non-Abelian indecomposable subgroup of  $S$  and  $S = Q \times R$ . Then there exist  $Q^*, R^* \subseteq S$  such that

- (a)  $S = Q^* \times R^* = Q \times R^* = Q^* \times R$ ,
- (b)  $Q^*, R^* \trianglelefteq N(S) \cap N(Q') = N(S) \cap N(QZ(S))$ , and
- (c)  $Q^{*'} = Q', R^{*'} = R'$ .

LEMMA 3. Suppose  $U$  is a normal 2-subgroup of a group  $G$ , and  $O_{2'}(C_G(U)) = 1$ . Then  $O_{2'}(C_G(U)U/U) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W = C_G(U)$  and  $V/U = O_{2'}(WU/U)$ . Then  $V$  is a 2-solvable group and  $U = O_2(V)$ . Since  $V \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $O_{2'}(V) \subseteq O_{2'}(G) \subseteq O_{2'}(W) = 1$ . By a result of Hall and Higman [7, Theorem 6.3.2, pp. 226–228],

$$W \cap V \subseteq C_V(O_{2'}(V)) \subseteq O_2(V) = U.$$

As  $U \subseteq V \subseteq UW$ ,  $V = U(V \cap W) = U$ . So,  $V/U = 1$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of a group  $G$ . Suppose  $T$  and  $U$  are subgroups of  $S$  and  $H$  is a normal subgroup of odd order in  $G$ . Let  $V = U \cap O_{2',2}(G)$  and  $W = U \cap O_{2',2}(C(T))$ . For each subgroup  $L$  of  $G$ , let  $\bar{L} = LH/H$ . Then

- (a) the natural mapping of  $S$  onto  $\bar{S}$  is an isomorphism,
- (b)  $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}) = C_G(T)H/H$ ,  $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}) = N_G(T)H/H$ ,
- (c)  $N_{\bar{G}}(C_{\bar{S}}(\bar{T})) = N_G(C_S(T))H/H$ ,
- (d)  $\bar{V} = \bar{U} \cap O_{2',2}(\bar{G})$  and  $\bar{W} = \bar{U} \cap O_{2',2}(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}))$ , and
- (e) if  $H \subseteq L \subseteq G$ , then  $O_{2',2}(L/H) = O_{2',2}(L)H/H$ .

*Proof.* (a) This is obvious, since  $S \cap H = 1$ .

(b) Take  $N$ ,  $N_0 \subseteq G$  such that  $N \supseteq N_0 \supseteq H$ ,  $N/H = N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T})$ , and  $N_0/H = C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T})$ . Clearly,

$$C_G(T)H \subseteq N_G(T)H \subseteq N, \quad TH \trianglelefteq N, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}) \subseteq N/H.$$

By the Frattini argument,

$$N = (HT)N_N(T) = HN_N(T) = HN_G(T).$$

Hence  $N_0 = H(N_G(T) \cap N_0) = HC_G(T)$ .

(c) This follows from (b).

(d), (e) Part (e) is obvious. By (e),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V} \subseteq \bar{U} \cap O_{2',2}(\bar{G}) &= (UH \cap O_{2',2}(G))/H = H(U \cap O_{2',2}(G))/H \\ &= HV/H = \bar{V}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument, using (b), completes the proof of (d) and, thus, of the lemma.

### 3. STRONGLY CLOSED ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF SYLOW 2-GROUPS

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $E$  is a strongly closed Abelian subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ .*

*Suppose  $R \subseteq C_S(E)$ ,  $E \cap R = 1$ , and  $R \trianglelefteq N_G(C_S(E))$ . Then*

$$E \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E \cap O_{2',2}(C_G(R)).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on the order of  $G$ . Let  $F = E \cap O_{2',2}(C_G(R))$ . Clearly,  $F \supseteq E \cap O_{2',2}(G)$ . Hence, it suffices to prove that  $F \subseteq O_{2',2}(G)$ .

As  $S \subseteq N(E)$ , the Frattini argument and the hypothesis yield that

$$N_G(E) = C_G(E) N_G(C_S(E)) = C_G(E)(N_G(E) \cap N_G(R)). \quad (3.1)$$

Since  $F \subseteq E$  and  $C_G(R) \trianglelefteq N(R)$ ,

$$F \trianglelefteq C(E) \quad \text{and} \quad F = E \cap O_{2',2}(C(R)) \trianglelefteq N(E) \cap N(R).$$

Hence,  $F \trianglelefteq N(E)$ . By Theorem S,

$$(3.2) \quad F \text{ is strongly closed in } S \text{ with respect to } G.$$

Suppose  $O_2(G) \neq 1$ . Then we are done, by Lemma 4 and induction. Therefore, we will assume, henceforth, that  $O_2(G) = 1$ .

Let  $T = O_2(G)$  and  $U = C_T(E)$ . Take any  $g \in G$ . Then  $U^g \subseteq T \subseteq S$ . By Theorem S, there exists  $n \in N(E)$  such that  $U^n = U^g$ . Hence,

$$U^g \subseteq C(E) \cap T = U.$$

Thus,  $U \trianglelefteq G$ . Consequently,  $E \subseteq C(U) \trianglelefteq G$  and  $C_S(U)$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C(U)$ . Therefore,  $C_S(U) C_S(E)$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C(U) C_S(E)$ .

Suppose  $C(U) C_S(E) \subset G$ . Then, by induction,  $F \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(U) C_S(E))$ . Since  $E \subseteq C(U)$ ,

$$F \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(U)) \subseteq O_{2',2}(G),$$

as desired.

Assume that  $C(U) C_S(E) = G$ . Suppose now that  $R \subseteq U$ . Then  $R$  is centralized by  $C(U)$  and normalized by  $C_S(E)$ . Therefore,  $R \trianglelefteq G$  and

$$F \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(R)) \subseteq O_{2',2}(N(R)) = O_{2',2}(G),$$

as desired.

Assume that  $C(U)C_S(E) = G$  and  $R \not\subseteq U$ . Since  $U = C_T(E)$ ,  $R \cap T = R \cap U \subset R$ . For every element  $g$  of  $G$  and every subgroup  $L$  of  $G$ , let

$$\bar{g} = gU \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{L} = LU/U.$$

Take  $x \in R - U$  and  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\bar{x} \in \Omega_1(Z(\bar{S})) \cap \bar{R}$ ,  $H \supseteq U$ , and  $H/U = C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})$ . Since  $U = C_T(E)$ ,  $R \cap T = R \cap U$ . Therefore,  $\bar{x} \notin \bar{T} = O_2(\bar{G})$ . Hence,  $H \subset G$ . By induction,

$$F \subseteq O_{2',2}(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F} \subseteq O_{2',2}(\bar{H}).$$

Suppose  $\bar{f}\bar{x} \in \bar{S} - \bar{T}$  for every  $\bar{f} \neq 1$  in  $\Omega_1(\bar{F})$ . Then (3.2) and Theorem G1 yield that  $\bar{F} \subseteq O_{2',2}(\bar{G})$ . As  $F \subseteq C(U) \trianglelefteq G$ ,

$$\bar{F} \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(U)U/U) = O_2(C(U)U/U) \subseteq O_2(\bar{G}),$$

by Lemma 3. Since  $U$  is a 2-group,  $F \subseteq O_2(G) \subseteq O_{2',2}(G)$ .

Thus, we are left with the case in which there exists some  $f \in F$  for which  $\bar{f} \in \Omega_1(\bar{F})$ ,  $\bar{f} \neq 1$ , and  $\bar{f}\bar{x} \in \bar{T}$ . Here, we will derive a contradiction. Since  $E$  is Abelian,  $\bar{f}\bar{x} \in C_T(E) = U$ . Take any  $g \in N(E) \cap N(R)$ . Take  $c \in C(U)$  and  $d \in C_S(E)$  such that  $cd = g$ . Then  $x^g, x^d \in R$ ,  $xf = fx$ , and

$$x^g f^g = (xf)^g = (xf)^{cd} = (xf)^d = x^d f^d = x^d f.$$

So  $(x^d)^{-1} x^g = f(f^g)^{-1}$ . Since  $E \cap R = 1$ ,  $f^g = f$ . Thus,  $N(E) \cap N(R)$  centralizes  $f$ . By (3.1),  $N(E)$  centralizes  $f$ . By Theorem S,  $f$  is weakly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . By Corollary 1 of [2],  $f \in Z^*(G)$ . Since  $O_2(G) = 1$  and  $f \in E$ ,

$$f \in O_2(G) \cap C(E) = C_T(E) = U.$$

Thus,  $\bar{f} = 1$ . This contradicts the choice of  $f$  and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $E$  is a strongly closed Abelian subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ .*

*Suppose  $E = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_k$  and  $N(E)$  permutes  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  by conjugation (not necessarily transitively). Assume that  $k > 1$  and that  $|\Omega_1(E_1)| > 2$ . Then*

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C(E_2 \cdots E_k)).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on the sum of  $|G|$  and  $k$ . Let

$$F_1 = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C(E_2 \cdots E_k)).$$

Clearly,  $F_1 \supseteq E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G)$ . Hence, it suffices to prove that  $F_1 \subseteq O_{2',2}(G)$ . By Lemma 4 and induction, we may and will assume that  $O_2(G) = 1$ .

Assume first that, for some  $i$ ,  $E_i$  is not conjugate to  $E_1$  under  $N(E)$ . For convenience in notation, we will assume that for some  $j < k$  the conjugates of  $E_1$  under  $N(E)$  are  $E_1, \dots, E_j$ . Then  $E_1 \cdots E_j$  and  $E_{j+1} \cdots E_k$  are both normalized by  $N(E)$  and, by Theorem S, are both strongly closed subgroups of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . Let  $G^* = C(E_{j+1} \cdots E_k)$ . By Theorem 1,

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G^*).$$

Thus, we are finished in this case if  $j = 1$ . If  $j > 1$ , then by induction

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G^*) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C_{G^*}(E_2 \cdots E_j)) = F_1,$$

as desired.

Now assume that, for every  $i$ ,  $E_i$  is conjugate to  $E_1$  under  $N(E)$ . Then  $|\Omega_1(E_i)| > 2$  for all  $i$ . For each  $i$ , let

$$D_i = (E_1 \cdots E_{i-1}) \times (E_{i+1} \cdots E_k) \quad \text{and} \quad F_i = E_i \cap O_{2',2}(C(D_i)).$$

Set  $F = F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k$ . Then the subgroups  $F_i$  are permuted transitively under conjugation by  $N(E)$ , and  $F \trianglelefteq N(E)$ . By induction,

$$(3.3) \quad \text{whenever } E \subseteq H \subseteq G, \text{ then } F \subseteq O_{2',2}(H).$$

Suppose  $E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) \neq 1$ . Since  $O_{2'}(G) = 1$ ,  $E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) \subseteq O_2(G) \subseteq S$ . Let  $E^* = E \cap O_2(G)$ . By the strong closure of  $E$  in  $S$ , it follows that  $E^* \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $N(E)$  permutes the subgroups  $E_i$  transitively by conjugation,

$$C(E^*) \subseteq C(E_1 \cap O_2(G)) \subseteq G.$$

By (3.3),  $F_1 \subseteq F \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(E^*)) \subseteq O_{2',2}(G)$ .

Henceforth, we will assume that  $F_1 \neq 1$  and that

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Eventually, we will obtain a contradiction.

Let  $F_1^*$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $N(E_1)$  contained in  $F_1$ . Then  $F_1^*$  is an elementary Abelian group. Define  $F^*$  to be the product of the groups  $(F_1^*)^g$ ,  $g \in N(E)$ . Note that there are precisely  $k$  such groups  $(F_1^*)^g$  and that  $F^*$  is their direct product. Moreover,  $F^* \trianglelefteq N(E)$  and, by Theorem S,  $F^*$  is a strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . Suppose that  $F_1^* \subseteq \Omega_1(E_1)$ . Take  $e \in \Omega_1(E_1) - F_1^*$ . Then by (3.4) and Theorem G1, there exists  $f \in F^*$  such that  $F^* \not\subseteq O_{2',2}(C(ef))$ . By (3.3),  $C(ef) = G$ . However,

$$C_{N(E)}(ef) \subseteq N_{N(E)}(\langle ef, F^* \rangle) = N_{N(E)}(\langle e, F^* \rangle) \subseteq N_{N(E)}(E_1) \subseteq N(E).$$



This contradiction shows that  $F_1^* = \Omega_1(E_1)$ . Thus,  $F^* = \Omega_1(E)$  and  $N(E_1)$  acts irreducibly on  $\Omega_1(E_1)$ . Since  $|\Omega_1(E_1)| > 2$ ,  $E_1 \cap Z(N(E_1)) = 1$ . By Theorem S,

$$E_1 \cap Z(N(E) \cap N(E_1)) = 1.$$

Since all the subgroups  $E_i$  are conjugate under  $N(E)$ ,

$$E_i \cap Z(N(E) \cap N(E_i)) = 1 \quad \text{for each } i. \quad (3.5)$$

Take any  $e \neq 1$  in  $F^*$ . Then there exist  $e_i \in E_i$  for each  $i$  such that  $e_1 \cdots e_k = e$ . Take  $j$  such that  $e_j \neq 1$ . Then

$$C_{N(E_j) \cap N(E)}(e) \subseteq C_{N(E_j) \cap N(E)}(e_j) \subset N(E_j) \cap N(E),$$

by (3.5). Therefore,  $C_G(e) \subset G$ . Thus, by (3.3),

$$F^* \subseteq F \subseteq O_{2',2}(C(e)) \quad \text{for every } e \neq 1 \text{ in } F^*. \quad (3.6)$$

Define  $W$  to be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the groups  $O_{2'}(C(e))$ ,  $e \in F^* - \{1\}$ . Since

$$|F^*| = |\Omega_1(E)| = |\Omega_1(E_1)|^k \geq 4^2 = 2^4,$$

(3.6) and Theorem G 2 yield that  $W$  has odd order. Define  $M$  to be  $N(W)$  if  $W \neq 1$  and to be  $N(F^*)$  if  $W = 1$ . Then  $M \supseteq N(F^*)$ . Since  $O_{2'}(G) = 1$ , (3.4) yields that  $M \subset G$ .

Take  $e \in F^* - \{1\}$ . Let  $T$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C(e)$  that contains  $F^*$ . By Sylow's theorem and the strong closure of  $F^*$  in  $S$ ,  $F^*$  is strongly closed in  $T$  with respect to  $G$ . Hence, by (3.6) and the Frattini argument,

$$\begin{aligned} C(e) &= O_{2',2}(C(e)) N_{C(e)}(T \cap O_{2',2}(C(e))) \\ &= O_{2'}(C(e)) N_{C(e)}(F^*) \subseteq WN_G(F^*) \subseteq M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$C(e) \subseteq M \quad \text{for every } e \in F^* - \{1\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose  $e \in F^* - \{1\}$ ,  $g \in G$ , and  $e^g \in M$ . Then there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $(e^g)^m \in S$ . By the strong closure of  $F^*$  in  $S$ ,  $e^{gm} \in E$  and  $e^{gm} = e^n$  for some  $n \in N(E)$ . Then  $gmn^{-1} \in C(e) \subseteq M$ , by (3.7). Since  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N(E) \subseteq M$ ,  $g \in M$ . This shows that

$$(3.8) \quad \text{if } e \in F^* - \{1\}, g \in G, \text{ and } e^g \in M, \text{ then } g \in M.$$

Now take  $e \in F_1^* - \{1\}$  and  $f \in F_2^* - \{1\}$ . Then  $e$  and  $ef$  are not conjugate under  $N(E)$  and, therefore, are not conjugate in  $G$ , by Theorem S. Take  $g \in G - M$ . By (3.8),

$$(ef)^g \notin M. \quad (3.9)$$

Let

$$D = \langle e, (ef)^g \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad x = e(ef)^g.$$

Since  $e$  and  $ef$  are not conjugate in  $G$ ,  $x$  has even order.

Let  $S^*$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $D$  that contains  $e$ . Then  $|S^*| \geq 4$  and there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $S^* = \langle e, (ef)^{gd} \rangle$ . Take  $h \in G$  such that  $S^{*h} \subseteq S$ . By (3.8) and the strong closure of  $F^*$  in  $S$ ,

$$h \in M, \quad e^h, (ef)^{gdh} \in F^*, \quad \text{and} \quad S^{*h} \subseteq F^*. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence  $e^h$  centralizes  $(ef)^{gdh}$ , and  $e$  centralizes  $(ef)^{gd}$ . So,  $S^* \subseteq C(e) \subseteq M$ . Let  $y$  be the unique involution in  $\langle x \rangle$ . Then  $y \in S^* \subseteq M$  and, by (3.7) and (3.10),  $D^h \subseteq C(y^h) \subseteq M$  and  $h \in M$ . Therefore,  $(ef)^g \in M$ , contrary to (3.9). This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $E$  is an Abelian strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ .*

*Suppose  $k \geq 1$ ,  $E = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_k$ , and  $N(E)$  permutes  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  by conjugation (not necessarily transitively). Assume that  $|\Omega_1(E_1)| > 2$  and that there exists  $Q \subseteq S$  such that  $E \cap Q' \neq 1$ ,  $E_1 = E \cap Q$ , and  $Q$  is a direct factor of some Sylow 2-subgroup  $S^*$  of  $N(E_1)$ . Then there exists  $R \subseteq S^*$  such that*

$$S^* = Q \times R \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C(R)) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on the sum of  $|G|$  and  $k$ . By Theorem S and induction, we may and will assume that  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  are transitively permuted by  $N(E)$ . By the strong closure of  $E$  in  $S$ ,  $N(Q) \subseteq N(E_1)$ . Therefore, replacing  $S^*$  by some conjugate of  $S^*$  in  $N(Q)$  if necessary, we may and will assume that  $S^* \supseteq N_S(Q) \supseteq QE$ . By the strong closure of  $E$ ,  $E \leq S^*$ .

Suppose  $k = 1$ . Then  $E = E_1 \subseteq Q$ . By Sylow's theorem,  $E$  is strongly closed in  $S^*$  with respect to  $G$ . Hence,

$$1 \neq E \cap Q' = E \cap (S^*)' \leq N(S^*).$$

For every  $g \in N(S^*)$ ,  $1 \neq E \cap Q' \subseteq Q' \cap (Q')^g$ . By Lemma 1,  $N(S^*) \subseteq N(Q')$ . By Lemma 2, there exists  $R \subseteq S^*$  such that  $R \trianglelefteq N(S^*)$  and  $S^* = Q \times R$ . By Theorem 1,

$$E \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E \cap O_{2',2}(C(R)).$$

Suppose  $k > 1$ . Let  $G^* = N(E_2 \cdots E_k)$ . Then  $G^* \subset G$  and

$$S^* \subseteq N(E_1) \cap N(E) = N(E) \cap G^*.$$

Since  $S^*$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N(E_1)$ ,  $S^*$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_{G^*}(E_1)$ . By induction, there exists  $R \subseteq S^*$  such that

$$S^* = Q \times R \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C_{G^*}(R)) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G^*).$$

Since  $E_1 \subseteq C(E_2 \cdots E_k) \trianglelefteq G^*$ , Theorem 2 yields that

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C(E_2 \cdots E_k)) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G^*).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3, since

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C_{G^*}(R)) \supseteq E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C_G(R)) \supseteq E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G).$$

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We first prove Theorem A. Assume the hypothesis of the theorem. Take  $k$ ,  $Q^*$ ,  $E$ , and  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  as in Corollary I 4. Then

$$E_1 = \Omega_1(Q^*) \quad \text{and} \quad S = Q \times R = Q^* \times R.$$

Let  $S^* = S$ . By Corollary I 4 and Theorem 3, there exists a subgroup  $R^*$  of  $S$  such that

$$S = Q^* \times R^* \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(C(R^*)).$$

By the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem [9, Satz 12.3, p. 66] (or Proposition 2.1 of I),  $S = Q \times R^*$ . Since  $C(R^*) \subseteq N(R^*) \subseteq G$ ,

$$E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(G) = E_1 \cap O_{2',2}(N(R^*)),$$

as desired.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem B. Clearly, condition (B2) implies condition (B1). Assume now that  $Q$  violates (B2). Take  $G$  and  $R$  such that (B2) is violated by  $G$ ,  $R$ , and  $Q$ . Let  $S = Q \times R$ . Then

$$S \cap O_{2',2}(G) = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

We will show that  $Q$  violates (B1).

By (4.1),  $S \cap Z^*(G) = 1$ . Therefore,  $Q$  is not a generalized quaternion group, by Theorem I 3. Take  $Q^*$  and  $R^*$  as in Theorem A. For convenience in notation, we will assume that  $Q = Q^*$  and  $R = R^*$ . By (4.1) and Theorem A,

$$\Omega_1(Q) \cap O_{2',2}(C(R)) = 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Since  $R \trianglelefteq S$  and  $C(R) \trianglelefteq N(R)$ ,  $C_S(R)$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C(R)$ . As  $C_S(R) = Q \times Z(R)$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad Q \times Z(R) \text{ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of } C(R).$$

Let  $L = O_{2',2}(C(R))$ . By (4.3),

(4.4)  $L \cap QZ(R)$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $L$ .

However,  $Z(R) \subseteq L \cap QZ(R) \subseteq QZ(R)$ . Hence,  $L \cap QZ(R) = Z(R) \times (L \cap Q)$ . By (4.2),  $L \cap \Omega_1(Q) = 1$ . Therefore,  $L \cap Q = 1$  and, by (4.4),

$$L = O_{2'}(C(R))(L \cap QZ(R)) = O_{2'}(C(R))Z(R). \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $M = O_{2'}(C(R))$ ,  $H = C(R)/M$ , and  $U = Z(R)M/M$ . By (4.5) and the definition of  $L$ ,

$$L = MZ(R), \quad U = O_2(H), \quad \text{and} \quad O_2(H/U) = 1.$$

By Lemma 3,  $O_{2'}(H/U) = 1$ . Consequently,  $O_{2',2}(H/U) = 1$ . By (4.3),  $Q$  is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $H/U$ . Thus,  $Q$  violates condition (B1). This completes the proof of Theorem B.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was written in part during a year's visit to the Mathematical Institute of the University of Oxford on a National Science Foundation Senior Postdoctoral Fellowship and a grant from the Science Research Council. We are very grateful to these institutions for making this visit possible and for giving us the opportunity to present most of this work in lectures at the Institute during Autumn, 1971. We also thank Professor D. Goldschmidt for suggesting Theorem B to us as a conjecture.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. COLLINS, The characterization of the Suzuki groups by their Sylow 2-subgroups, *Math. Z.* **123** (1971), 32-48.
2. G. GLAUBERMAN, Central elements in core-free groups, *J. Algebra* **4** (1966), 403-420.
3. G. GLAUBERMAN, A sufficient condition for  $p$ -stability, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1972) 253-287.
4. G. GLAUBERMAN, Direct factors of Sylow 2-subgroups I, *J. Algebra* **27** (1973), 133-161.
5. D. GOLDSCHMIDT, An application of Brauer's second main theorem, *J. Algebra* **20** (1972), 72-77.
6. D. GOLDSCHMIDT, Weakly embedded 2-local subgroups of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **21** (1972), 341-351.
7. D. GORENSTEIN, "Finite Groups," Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
8. G. HIGMAN, Suzuki 2-groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **7** (1963), 79-96.
9. B. HUPPERT, "Endlichen Gruppen I," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
10. M. SUZUKI, On a class of doubly transitive groups, *Ann. of Math.* **75** (1962), 105-145.